



PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

Virtual

January 18, 2022

C. Howard Post, Chairperson, opened the meeting at 7:34 p.m.

Present: C. Howard Post (Chair), Carole Furman (Vice-Chair), Mike Tiano, Robert Hlavaty, Kevin Brady, Ken Goldberg and Len Bouren

Also Present: Max Stach (NPV, Town Planning Firm)

Absent: William Creen (Alternate)

The draft minutes of the December 21, 2021 Planning Board meetings were reviewed. A motion was made by Hlavaty, seconded by Furman, to approve. Board vote: Furman-Aye, Goldberg-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Brady-Aye, Post-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye. Motion carried.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Site Plan/SUP, Rust Free Motors, LLC, 2 Simmons Drive. Presented by the owners of the business (Rust Free Motors, LLC), Steven King and Brian Morris. The public hearing was held open from the November 16, 2021 meeting. The applicants are looking for approval to amend a site plan on the parcel located at 2 Simmons Drive. They have updated the site plan to include a fence along the back parking area along the Simmons Drive side to span the entire west side of the rear parking lot. A wooden fence measuring 8' wide by 6' high is proposed, to be stained. Shrubs have also been added to the landscaping plan. The site plan shows a paddock fence along Route 9W side of the rear parking lot, east side of the parking area. This will eliminate anyone entering the rear parking lot from Route 9W. Applicants have met with the NYSDOT permit engineer onsite to discuss and the NYSDOT requested that no new entrance be added on Route 9W. Morris-error in judgment when leaving the tow truck running in the rear lot and it will not happen again. Cars will be driven from the front lot to the back lot via a proposed access point on the east side of the building, between the building and Route 9W, onsite. No cars will be parked on the hill at the tip of the triangle in the front parking lot. No painting of vehicles will be done onsite, except for aerosol spray ca use. No stripping of cars will be done on site. Moved the more appealing cars off site to another location for storage until they will be repaired. Motor oil is pumped out and taken away every week. Proposing to add a small hill to direct water to drain and keep water from coming in. There will be a dumpster to be placed within the enclosed fenced area in the rear parking lot.

Public Comments:

- Chris Mayone, 19 Simmons Drive - full disclosure, friend of Brian Morris for 20 years. If they say they are going to do something they will do it. As far as the concerns regarding resale value, two houses down the street just sold, one for \$40,000 over the asking price.
- Corey Kassler, 7 Simmons Drive - like the idea of the fence along the rear parking lot on the Simmons Drive side. They have proposed to paint the garage, which it needs, once painted it will look a lot better. They seem like straight up guys, the neighborhood should give them a chance.
- Pat Gallo, 11 Simmons Drive - the updated site plan looks better. Solvents like WD-40 or something are being used and can be smelled in the air. Trailers parked on Simmons Drive were a concern, in the late

evening. Understand they have to load and unload vehicles but limitations need to be made. Morris-we have rented another piece of property, will only be using the tow truck to move vehicles to and from this location on Simmons Drive.

- Sue Rinaldi, 4 Meadow Court - the fence that is currently on site has a fence gap on the bottom. Number of shrubs. King-there will be 23 shrubs touching each other along the north side of the lot. There will be landscaping along 9W for Gateway Overlay requirements. Rinaldi-there are parking size requirements, which are not demonstrated.
- Mike Catalinotto, 3154 Route 9W - how is this a legal application, who is the owner of the parcel? It states Mullen on the site plan and Morris and King are the applicants. Is this even a legal application for this parcel? Morris-authorization was provided by the owner of the parcel to the Planning Board for the files. Catalinotto-if you look at the NYS General Municipal Law this site is a junkyard per that definition. The approval of this application would require a zoning change to include junkyards in the zoning district. This qualifies as a junkyard and is not permitted in this zoning district. Zoning was implemented to stop junk yards from taking over, enacted in 1989, eliminating junkyards in the Gateway Overlay district. The Gateway Overlay restrictions are intended to prevent unsightly businesses. Morris-I respect your opinion but that is what it is an opinion. What I like may not be what you like. We repair unique cars. King-there is no dismantling of cars, we repair cars with the intention of getting them back on the road, that does not classify our business as a junkyard. The intent is to fix them and re-sell them. Classic cars. Post-we will have to get a determination regarding the junkyard status. Stach-the building inspector will make that determination. It seems that the intent of the vehicles on site are those that are awaiting repairs to make road worthy.
- Ron Knowles (Patron of Rust Free Motors, LLC) - this business should be welcomed in a town that hosts a car show every year. It is a positive business that contributes to the Town as a whole. They want to try and work with everyone to come to an agreement on what is acceptable.
- Rian Beils, 24 Ebel Court - Great service for the community. There are so many businesses that have been closed. They are trying to make a successful business for the area. We should be welcoming business, not pushing them out.
- William Kimble, 52 Apple Tree Drive - How many vehicles at one time will be in the back and the front lots on site? The finished ones should be used as showcase vehicles. King-hoping to have 35 in the rear and 15 up front. Morris-love the idea of a showcase, with the nice completed cars up front. Would like to pay tribute to the previous business, Curry Brothers, in some way when fixing up the lot and building.
- Brendan Amodio, 123 Partition Street (Mirabella's) - have known the owners of Rust Free Motors, LLC for a while. They are always working and take great pride in this town. They source the vehicles from around the country. They keep the projects moving along and functioning while awaiting the necessary parts to get the vehicles in street worthy condition. The history of this town includes car shows, they would be an asset to that. They need to be given a chance.
- Jack Rinaldi, Lowden, TN - mother lives across the street on Simmons Drive from the back parking lot. It is really ugly and resembles a junkyard. How can they fit 15 cars in the front, not attractive. Morris-that is your opinion. King-the cars in front will be for the cars that have been fixed up and are ready for sale.

Tiano-what color will they stain the fence in the back lot that runs along Simmons Drive? Morris-a neutral, light stain just for weather protection. Post-poll the Board to see if the public hearing should be closed. Brady-yes. Hlavaty-yes. Tiano-no, in all fairness to everyone it should be kept open while awaiting determination from the building inspector. Goldberg-keep open. Bouren-yes. Fuman-yes. Post-no. A motion was made by Furman, seconded by Brady, to close the public hearing. Board vote: Brady-Aye. Hlavaty-Aye, Tiano-Nay, Goldberg-Nay, Bouren-Aye, Furman-Aye, Post-Nay. Motion carried with a vote of 4-3. Public hearing closed at 8:46 pm.

Furman-parking spots need to be marked, maybe install a decorative top to the fence, and showcase the completed cars in front. Post-will require that the showcase spots be located on the site plan. Stach-parking spot requirements are 9'x18' per parking space. Brady-show the path of the dump truck to the dumpster. Hlavaty-a site plan needs to be prepared by a professional engineer and stamped. King-we have reached out to two engineers but both were significantly backed up, until at least February. Tinao-the water issue from the Chiropractor's office needs to be addressed, they have a pipe running from their sub pump to the lot line and that is what causes a lot of the sitting water, maybe it can be relocated. The previous owner went and did what he wanted to that back lot without the proper approvals and now it is being reviewed with this site plan. Goldberg-there should be a formal limit to the number of cars in the front and the back lots. Morris-will be limited by size of the parking spots, will configure. Stach-off street parking dimension, provide a certain number of parking spaces, display vehicles will be in spaces not provided for customer parking. Identify are to display automobiles, outlined with a box. King-can set time limits on how long vehicles are on site. Bouren-customer parking marked, how to enter and exit marked, snow removal plan. What items will be fixed on site? Morris-we would push from the front to the back for snow removal. We can repair anything with a carburetor and distributor. Furman-no additional comments at this time. Would suggest making the enclosure around the dumpster smaller. Post-the arcade games need to be removed. Morris-they are going in the trash.

The Planning Board will have to wait for the determination from the Building Inspector.

2. Site Plan/Lot Line Revision, Glasco Apartments, 260 Glasco Tpke., 2964 & 2966 Route 9W, Rt. 9W, 30 Belknap Ln./off Glasco Tpke. Presented by Chris LaPorta, Passero Associates (engineer) and Tom George, Commercial Street Partners (developer). An updated site plan and architectural drawings were submitted. Improvements included the addition of a sidewalk on the east side of the development, crosswalk to the open space and playground area with equipment. Easement to neighbor, separation of access between driveway and construction access, private ROW shifted on Trinity Court 8' to the north with extensions of the parcel owner's driveways. We will be providing new driveways to all residents of Trinity Court. We propose to shift Mr. Deak's parking space to the north and extend the sidewalks. We will extend the front yard of each property by 8' on Trinity Court. Moved all landscaping and lighting to the north of Trinity Court. There will be no encroachments, providing access easement to connect parcels. The internal roads will be privately maintained to Town Standards. In response to Ms. Hering on Belknap Lane, we will be removing the overgrown landscaping and installing new landscaping as a buffer behind Building 1 and the Clubhouse. Proposing additional drainage in the same area to mitigate and provide relief to the southeast to culvery under Glasco leading to the stream. Remove existing landscaping along Glasco Tpke. property lines and plant new landscaping. Pitch Trinity Court from South to North to collect in catch basins on the North side of road to catch basins on both sides of the road. Received the traffic consultant's letter today stating that they are satisfied with the proposed traffic plan. NYSDOT permit application to include curb cut and improvements along Route 9W. Post opened the public hearing at 7:38pm and asked that each individual that wishes to speak limit their questions to 5 minutes and try not repeat questions previously asked. Public Comments:

- Tom Francello, 2179 Route 32 - best plans that we have seen, listened to the neighbors and adjusted, it was a pleasure to watch this process with the applicant and wishing the best of luck.
- Ron Deak, 12/14/16 Trinity Court - appreciate the movement of Trinity Court to the North and the willingness to repave the driveways. Still concerned about the drainage of surface water, due to the elevation differences. The drainage pipes to the existing road are approximately 4' higher than the basement crawl spaces of my buildings. LaPorta-the proposed will be lower than the existing and surrounded by stone which will help water. 5' cover additional relief to groundwater, naturally draining to the Southeast. Provide ground water relief to that area, and will be trying to stay at or close to the existing grade. Deak-will the new road be 24' wide with curbs? LaPorta-there will be a wing gutter on the northside additional to the 24' width. The minimum will be 24', adding an additional 6"-8" with gutter. Deak-will my tenants be limited from parking on the street for overflow parking on ROW to 9W? LaPorta-most parking needs are met on-site. Could possibly provide additional public parking for that

overflow. Deak-will the ROW be deeded? LaPorta-it will be a permanent access easement to our private road that will be filed with the Ulster County Clerk's Office as record. Deak-concerns with the only access being from Route 9W for safety, should be using Mary's Way as well. LaPorta-can discuss further if you would like to forward your information along to me we can talk. The traffic was studied in great detail. Ultimately NYSDOT will provide the final guidance.

- Maurice Hodder, 23 Trinity Court - will the building gutter drains go into stormwater areas? LaPorta-yes in the front they will and the back may go to surface discharge, will be provided in the SWPPP to the Town Engineer. Hodder-emergency access? LaPorta-discussed with Glasco FD and providing a crash gate on Mary's Way with a key which will be made available to the Fire Department. Hodder-ROW abandonment? LaPorta-since the previously proposed subdivision in which the ROW was proposed was never initiated it was considered abandoned and the ROW along with it. Access easement provided to Route 9W instead of ROW. Hodder-issue with no connection to my property. LaPorta-that was a drafting error that will be corrected. Hodder-will there be a management office on site? LaPorta-yes, it will be located in the clubhouse.
- William Barr, 67 Livingston Street - what will the water demand be estimated to be? LaPorta-water demand will be around 26,000 gallons per day, using public water/sewer. The water CAD model provided to the Town engineer.

Stach-the Part III EAF was adequately addressed. Did hear back from the traffic engineer, defer to the Town Engineer for the SWPPP and water/sewer demand. Larios-we have requested some changes to the SWPPP. Mark Resso remarked that the increased demand would not push the contractual limit with the village within the Glasco Water District. The actual use will probably be around 12,000-16,000/day. The response from the applicant was satisfactory and constructively addressed concerns. The SWPPP is well on its way.

A motion was made by Tiano, seconded by Furman, to close the public hearing since there were no further comments. Board vote: Bouren-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Goldberg-Aye, Brady-Aye, Furman-Aye, Post-Aye. Motion carried. Public hearing closed at 9:51 pm.

LaPorta-modeling differences can be easily addressed, adding a level of detail. There is no potential for adverse effects. The new SWPPP to be provided to the Town Engineer, there are no significant changes in the sizes of the ponds, no material changes, no SEQR impact. Larios-ok with that. Water service issue with loop. LaPorta-connecting to Route 9W wet tap. Backflow prevention in buildings. Larios-perfect. As far as water/sewer and SWPPP we are good. Stach-traffic analysis has been adequately addressed.

A motion was made by Brady, seconded by Hlavaty, to approve a negative declaration under SEQR. Board vote: Bouren-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Goldberg-Aye, Brady-Aye, Furman-Aye, Post-Aye. Motion carried.

Stach-Planning comments either addressed or could be addressed by conditions of the approval. Specify 239 bedrooms, there will be one building with one fewer bedroom. Correspondence from school district bus service to enter private roads and adequately provide transportation. Will serve letter from Central Hudson for electricity. Approval of SWPPP and UCHD approvals of the water distribution system will be required. Post-NPV to draft the conditional resolution. Furman-the playground area, is there a fence? pond? LaPorta-there is not at this time, it is designed as a dry pond, did not think a fence would be necessary. Furman-maybe a paddock fence. Benches around the area. LaPorta-can certainly look into a fence to delineate the border. Will add the benches in detail. Brady-no questions. Hlavaty-no questions. Tiano-just be aware of the additional impact of the closing of Mt. Marion School on the traffic using Glasco Turnpike. The construction vehicles entering and existing the site to be aware. George-the construction entrance/exit will be monitored. LaPorta-additional signage may be added. Goldberg-no questions. Bouren-location of the pool and clubhouse, can the neighbors use them? George-they will be for residents only, guest passes may be an option. Furman-no additional questions. Post-no questions.

A motion was made by Furman, seconded by Bouren, to approve the site plan with the conditions mentioned above. Board vote: Bouren-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Goldberg-Aye, Brady-Aye, Furman-Aye, Post-Aye. Motion carried.

3. Major Subdivision, Abe & Nicole Friedman, 159 Band Camp Road. Presented by Donald Brewer, surveyor. The applicant is proposing a 3-lot subdivision. Lot 1 will contain an existing home and the remaining lots are vacant.

Post opened the public hearing for comments at 10:12pm.

- Hope Kellerhouse, 193 Pine Lane - concerns with the proposed Lot #2. It is very wet back there, natural drainage into that area from the ledge. The holes for the septic were close to my property lines. The ditch in the middle of the field is filled with water that lays there. There is a drain that goes under Pine Lane to the back of Lot #2, it is very much wetlands. Concerned about the natural drainage being disturbed. There is standing water on my property from the addition of a storage container on the parcel being subdivided. Concerned that the septic will be close to my well. Brewer-many of these questions will have to be answered by the engineer, which has been hired by the applicant. Can estimate that the drainage will improve with the proposed changes to the property. Will have to locate Ms. Kellerhouse's well, but there is plenty of room to move the septic to ensure it does not interfere with her well. No recorded wetlands have been found on-site, checked the Federal and State databases. Not sure how the storage container could have caused a water issue on her property, but can ask the applicant's to move that. Kellerhouse-is the proposed driveway for Lot #2 from Pine Lane? That is where it floods. Brewer-need to bring in fill to create the driveway, raised to a point to where the 100 year floodline is. Kellerhouse-the proposed driveway will flood my property. Brewer-the Friedman's would like to make sure that they address all of Ms. Kellerhouse's concerns.

Stach-the topography map was completed but just submitted, concerns to look for that will need to be addressed by the applicant's engineer. Can not close the public hearing until SEQR determination is completed. Will need time to review. A motion was made by Goldberg, seconded by Tiano, to declare this a Unlisted Action under SEQR. Board vote: Bouren-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Brady-Aye, Goldberg-Aye, Furman-Aye, Post-Aye. Motion Carried. A motion was made by Goldberg, seconded by Furman, to adjourn the public hearing until the February 15, 2022 Planning Board meeting. Board vote: Bouren-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Brady-Aye, Goldberg-Aye, Furman-Aye, Post-Aye. Motion Carried.

4. Minor Subdivision, Patricia A. Williams & Fred DiVito, 187 Charles Smith Road/350 Wilhelm Road. Presented by George Williams, Praetorius & Conrad, P.C. The applicant is looking to subdivide the parcel located at 187 Charles Smith Road, owned by Patricia A. Williams into two parcels with the Town of Saugerties. DiVito will acquire a section of Williams' land, no further development is proposed on either parcel.

Post opened the public hearing for comment at 10:26 pm. No one was present and there were no questions/comments. A motion was made by Goldberg, seconded by Brady, to declare this an Unlisted Action under SEQR. Board vote: Brady-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Goldberg-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Furman-Aye, Post-Aye. Motion Carried. A motion was made by Goldberg, seconded by Tiano, to approve a negative declaration under SEQR. Board vote: Brady-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Goldberg-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Furman-Aye, Post-Aye. Motion Carried. A motion was made by Furman, seconded by Hlavaty, to close the public hearing. Board vote: Brady-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Goldberg-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Furman-Aye, Post-Aye. Motion Carried.

Stach-the existing road maintenance agreement was obtained and is satisfactory. A motion was made by Brady, seconded by Furman, to approve the minor subdivision as presented. Board vote: Brady-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Goldberg-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Furman-Aye, Post-Aye. Motion Carried.

OLD BUSINESS

1. Site Plan/SUP, Denier Car Wash/Duncan Properties, LLC, 2891 & 2897 Route 32. John Denier, applicant, presented. Received the hydrogeologist report from Paul Rubin. Would like to request a special meeting with the Town Engineer to address questions or comments regarding the zero discharge. Larios-can set up a meeting with the applicants to discuss. Stach-SEQR documents between the Planning Board attorney and the applicant's attorney need to be reviewed by our office, in the absence of the regular Town Planner. Moriello-we can set up a time to discuss. Denier-all public comments will be addressed in the future when the public hearing is scheduled. Moriello-the previous public hearing was for the SEQR process, two public hearings will be held by the Town Board for the rezoning petition. No further action can be taken by the Board at this time.

2. Major Subdivision, Greenspace Realty LLC/Mullen, Harrison Court. Presented by Bruce Utter, Praetorius & Conrad, P.C. The application was before the Board earlier in 2021 and is returning with updated maps for lot line revisions and a 6-lot subdivision in a previously approved development located off of Glasco Turnpike. Stach-zoning tabel to call out those two lots (Lot #3 & Lot #4) as requested in the review memo. Label arc length, mark accordingly. Harrison Court to be dedicated, approximate grade indicated on plan. Would like to see the original approvals from the original subdivision. Water mains, proposed sewer, culverts to be shown. Utter-it will all be updated and added for the next submission. Stach-how will the wetlands present be mapped out for the future homeowners? Wetland delineation? Utter-they were remarked in 2016. Stach-adequate. Utter-mark them out in the field, and will be added to the next submission. A phasing schedule may be implemented. Stach- no more than 20 lots via a deadend road. # of lots that have a single access into the roadway, waivable by the Planning Board. The number of lots was at 20 counting from Cooper Road. The Planning Board could ask for an interpretation and determine it is proper to waive. What happens to the cul de sac - future development. Require basis for extending the road w/50' utility easement. 20' reserved for future extension of the road, are there any reserve strips? Utter-at the edge of the water district, Steven's Drive is located after a booster station-no benefits for utilities to loop. There will be one 50' strip, topo does not allow use. No reserve strips to my knowledge. Stach-Preliminary Plat for re-submission, need full EAF completed. This is a Type I Action under SEQR. NOI to DOH and other involved agencies to be distributed, acquire an archeological consultant. Utter-will send to CRIS, Phase II ws done with the original subdivision. Will submit a full EAF, would request to set the public hearing for next month. Working on satisfying the SEQR issues.

A motion was made by Tiano, seconded by Brady, to set the public hearing for the February 15, 2022 meeting. Board vote: Brady-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Goldberg-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Furman-Aye, Post-Aye. Motion Carried. A motion was made by Brady, seconded by Hlavaty, to send out the "Notice of Intent to Serve as Lead Agency". Board vote: Brady-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Goldberg-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Furman-Aye, Post-Aye. Motion Carried.

PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE

1. Site Plan, John Reidy, 9 Montague Lane. Presented by Diego Celaya, Architect. The applicant would like to construct a single family home on the parcel but requires site plan approval because the parcel is located in the Waterfront Overlay district. Stach-suggest that the applicant and architect look through §245.33(2) to see which of the Waterfront Overlay requirements may not pertain to this application and request a waiver from the Planning Board for those items. Items that will be reviewed will be grading, drainage, vegetation, direction of illumination and the schedule of construction. The width and overall design of the driveway should be shown. The front yard should be along Montague. The square footage of the house and carport. The Gateway Overlay standards will not be reviewed as it is just a residence. Identification of 6" diameter trees to be preserved, can be

waived at the Planning Board's discretion. Show limits of clearing to waive the tree survey. There is a stream going through the site, do not believe there are any buffer requirements for that, verify with the Building Inspector. This is a Type II Action under SEQ. A public hearing is not required. UCPB referral is required as the parcel is located within 500' of a County Road. A motion was made by Hlavaty, seconded by Furman, to refer to the UCPB. Board vote: Hlavaty-Aye, Brady-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Goldberg-Aye, Furman-Aye, Post-Aye. Motion carried.

Celaya-will request a landscape waiver, can show minimal landscaping but it may change over time. Post-illumination, low reflective windows will be two concerns. Celaya-lighting will be shielded and downward facing. Using low reflective windows by Anderson.

Board questions/comments: Bouren-no. Hlavaty-no. Tiano-clearing has already been done? Reidy-it was done prior to being notified that we are located in the Waterfront Overlay District and are required to get site plan approval. Furman-no. Celaya-will await comments from the County.

2. Lot Line Revision/Minor Subdivision, 1033 Kings Highway LLC, 1033 Kings Highway. Presented by Dan McCarthy, Praetorius & Conrad, P.C. and the owner, Arthur Green. The applicant previously had an application before the Board including this lot line and minor subdivision along with a Site Plan/SUP which was withdrawn. The applicant is looking to rectify an overlap on Sinsapaugh Lane with the lot line revision proposed, moving 0.08 acres to the Sinsapaugh parcel. The owner is also proposing to divide the parcel located at 1033 Kings Highway into two separate parcels, each containing an existing building. Parcel #1 would be retained by the owner and Parcel #2 would be given to the owner's wife. There was a prospective business that was interested in the one building but that has been abandoned, which is why the Site Plan/SUP application was previously withdrawn. There are no new prospective businesses interested in the building located on Parcel #2 at this time. Stach-according to the County tax map, Sinsapaugh Lane appears to be a public road. McCarthy-called the Highway Department and spoke with Doug Myer to discuss what was going on there. It is a Town Road up until the pavement. Stach-will need a survey map of Sinsapaugh Lane showing the lot line between the two. One SEAF will be required for both, not segmented. Need updated maps for the minor subdivision and lot line revision. McCarthy-in other Towns where we do work they allow the subdivision to be done first and then the lot line. Stach-they can be done simultaneously. Need zoning charts for each, anything that has been moved or abandoned needs to be shown. Green-there is a dumpster on site at this time that has been used 2 or 3 times to take garbage out of the building. The DEC says the site has been remediated but supervision continues. Stach-the trailer size dumpster needs to be indicated if it will remain on site. Provide a letter why the Site Plan/SUP application has been withdrawn and that there is no proposed use at this time. The remediation documentation from the DEC should be obtained for the records and need to know where the monitoring wells are located on the site and indicated on the map. Are there any easements? McCarthy-there is an AT&T easement only. Stach-the Planning Board can declare Lead Agency when they are comfortable to do so. This is an Unlisted Action under SEQ. Post- I think that we will wait to declare Lead Agency, the Board agreed. More information is required from the applicant before moving forward. No further action can be taken by the Board at this time.

ADJOURNMENT

Since there was no further business to discuss, a motion was made by Furman, seconded by Tiano, to adjourn the meeting. Board vote: Furman-Aye, Goldberg-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Brady-Aye, Post-Aye, Hlavaty-aye. Motion carried. The meeting was closed at 11:59 pm.

Respectfully Submitted by,

Becky Bertorelli
Planning Board Secretary