



PLANNING BOARD MINUTES
VIRTUAL -WebEX
June 15, 2021

C. Howard Post, Chairperson, opened the meeting at 7:32 p.m.

Present: C. Howard Post, Carole Furman, Ken Goldberg, Len Bouren, Mike Tiano, Robert Hlavaty, William Creen (alternate) and Adriana Beltrani (Town Planner, NPV).

Absent: Kevin Brady.

The draft minutes of the May 18, 2021 Planning Board meetings were reviewed. Hlavaty requested one change that 12:47pm be changed to 12:47am on the last page for the adjournment time. A motion was made by Goldberg, seconded by Furman, to approve as corrected. Board vote: Bouren-Aye, Creen-Aye, Furman-Aye, Post-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Goldberg-Aye. Motion carried.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Major Subdivision, Joseph Gambino, 3524 Route 32. Presented by Bill Stade, Praetorius & Conrad, P.C. This is a public hearing that has been left open from the last meeting for a proposed 5-lot subdivision of a 32-acre parcel of land located off Route 32 and High Falls Road. Currently operating a business on the parcel. The Town Board recently approved the rezoning of the LDR section of the parcel to MDR to fit the surrounding zoning districts.

Public comments:

- Marilyn Freeburg, 3574 Route 32-marked trees and topography to show drainage/erosion results, where are they? Stade-was hoping to have it done in time for the engineer but has been submitted to the Town Engineer but not in time for comment prior to this meeting. Post-if there is a drainage issue the Town Engineer will address that in their comments.
- Roger Stinemire, 3550 Route 32-grading plan was not available for review and comment prior to this meeting as hoped. Would request that the public hearing be kept open until July so that the public can review and make comment when submitted. Post-that is something that will have to be reviewed before the public hearing is closed. Stinemire-is Lot #2 being considered in the area of disturbance? Gambino-DEC has been onsite and noted that the area of disturbance is well within the one acre allotted prior to the requirement of a SWPPP. Post-the Board would like a copy of that statement from the DEC. Stinemire-what type of septic will be installed? When will they know? Beltrani-that is part of the next step of engineering review.
- June Brandt, 63 High Falls Road-concerned about water, danger to septic tanks already present in the area. Beltrani-The Town Engineer will be reviewing the erosion/sediment control and SWPPP to ensure all are done properly. As mentioned by Mr. Stade previously it has not been reviewed but has been submitted to the Town Engineer but comments have not been received to date. The comments will include feasibility.
- Toni Berzal, 97 High Falls Road-has anyone been to the site to see the work that has already been done on the High Falls Road part of the parcel? Is there any way to guarantee that

whatever is being placed on the proposed parcels will remain residential? Beltrani-Post was at the site and took pictures of what work had been done and forwarded to the Town Engineer for their review. Awaiting comment. Gambino-at this point there is no buyer anymore for the lot off High Falls Road. Will probably leave the proposed parcel a field until a potential buyer or put a residential house up there.

Stade-will submit comments in writing regarding the comments made by the public for the next meeting. Post-does the Board have any further questions at this time, the public hearing will remain open.

Goldberg-no, Furman-does the proposed road to the 3 lots in the back run through Lot #1, is there an RMA for those? Stade-there will be a RMA to the center of the proposed road for those lots that share it. Tiano-would like to walk the property on the High Falls Road side, would 5pm Friday work?

Gambino-yes. Bouren-no comments at this time. Hlavaty-no comments at this time. Creen-no comments at this time. Post-the public hearing will be held open until the July meeting.

2. Site Plan/SUP Amendment, Canos Recycling, LLC, 1083 Kings Highway. Presented by Charles Wesley. Looking to add the addition of automotive intake, drainage and removal to the current site plan/SUP. The applicant currently has a recycling business of dry metals on Old Kings Highway. A tractor trailer will be used to remove the vehicles, they will not be dismantled on site, just drained. No parts will be sold off the vehicles, they will come in as whole and be removed as a whole.

Post opened the public hearing at 7:58 pm. Public comments:

- Brain Morris, 122 Market Street-in the car business and this community is lucky to have a recycling business like this local. The intake of vehicles will be nothing for the applicant to handle, as he handles his business well currently. This business is a good asset to the Town.
- Art Green, Sachs Road-submitted a letter to the Board prior to this evening's meeting to address several concerns. Post-read the letter for the public hearing. Concerns were as follows:
 - The letter requests that the Planning Board does not make a decision on this project for 60-days.
 - Automobiles were not allowed in the original Special Use Permit.
 - The height and visuals of the site are a concern.
 - The site should be monitored yearly to ensure the quality of the soil.
 - There should be 2-way ingress and egress.
 - The use of the site to date has had a negative impact on the surrounding area.
 - Visual and noise concerns.

Green-concerns that should be remedied before an approval of amendment. Tree screening, creating a hazardous condition, single entrance/exit is dangerous, speed is a factor in this section of Kings Highway, soil inspections should be done. Not against what the applicant is trying to do but have concerns. Noise from crushing machines, when a tractor trailer is parked on the scale it will create a buildup of traffic on Kings Highway of anyone else that would like to enter the facility.

- Dale Constable, current customer-work scrapping vehicles, the facility is clean, neat and quiet. Sure that the owner will do what he has to do the environmentally correct thing and adhere to the Board's conditions. Can do everything neat and environmentally friendly.
- Doug Tian, Mt. Marion-work in the vehicle business and the owner is very educated in running this type of business, the addition of recycling of vehicles is a positive addition as it will help to

stop them from being left on properties to leak into the soil. The additional intake of automobiles will be overseen by the DEC, Town Board and Planning Board.

Post-the Planning Board will complete due diligence. Beltrani-an outline of what the Board will want to consider for the SUP-proposed border of 10' trees to be planted. Require a landscaping schedule and maintenance schedule. The species of the tree needs to be noted, number of plants, size at planting and maintenance. Wesley-outline of species provided, they will be 10' in height at time of planting and any dead trees will be replaced. Beltrani-note on the site plan for that or it can be made a condition of the resolution. A note would be preferred, a suggestion would be to make note #5 state that the trees will be 10' in height at time of planting and will be replaced for the lifetime of the project. Wesley-seems reasonable, will add. Beltrani-a 6-month outlook projection would be recommended to use for the SUP regarding scale of business. SUP will include hours of operation: 7am-5pm M-F and 7am-2pm Saturday. Additional comments: There is a 6" concrete curb on the 40' x 80' slab shown where the processed vehicles will be stored, a note should be added that drained vehicles shall only be located on that concrete slab w/curb. Outdoor storage of vehicles is an accessory use to the original recycling use, the storage for the original use is to be contained indoors. How many vehicles can be accommodated in a shipment, when removed? How many vehicles will be onsite at one given time. Wesley-the vehicles will be 2-high, there are no crushing machines proposed, but the vehicles will be compressed somewhat from the machinery used to move them. Everything will be shipped off the premises at the same time, scrap metals and vehicles. Beltrani-a condition of the SUP will include the number of automobiles that can be stacked. The use of the concrete pad is anticipated to contain any dripping of fluids out of the automobiles that will be regulated by the NYSDEC. The location of the drainage and storage is far away from the stream that is noted onsite. The following conditions will be included in the SUP:

- No vehicles or dry metals will be stored onsite for more than 120-days
- No dismantling of vehicles, no parts will be removed or sold on site
- The applicant will not accept mercury, magnesium, wood, asbestos, loose plastics, loose tires or televisions
- Up to 200 pick-up trucks w/trailers per week may enter/exit the site for drop off of dry metals or vehicles. Up to 10 tractor trailers may enter/exit the site per week to pick up dry metals and vehicles
- vehicles will not be stored outside of the 40' x80' concrete slab and will not be stacked more than two vehicles in height
- vehicles will only be drained in the sheltered auto-prep area and drained fluids will be stored on site in industry standard containers approved by the NYS DEC for no more than 30 days
- the height of any piles being prepared for shipment off-site shall not be greater than 10' high
- hours of operation shall be from 7am-5pm M-F and 7am-2pm on Saturday

A motion was made by Furman, seconded by Tiano to close the public hearing. Board vote: Goldberg-Aye, Furman-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Post-Aye, Creen-Aye. Motion carried. Public Hearing was closed at 8:34pm.

Post-Board Comments:

Goldberg-noted several a/c units onsite, are they drained prior to being accepted? Is there liquid contained in them? They are being stored outside, not inside. Canos-a/c units are brought in the way they go out, we do not drain them or dismantle in any way onsite. They are stored inside until we prepare them to be shipped out. They are placed on a pallet and stored until they are removed.

Goldberg-there is a large pile that you can see from Kings Highway. Canos-that is a pile of aluminum that you are seeing, I believe. There are 5 pallets of a/c units in the front that are ready to be shipped off site. Goldberg-would request that height of storage be added to the conditions of the SUP, under 10' tall, as well as shipment details. The increase in the circumference of the piles does help to bring the height down, there should be nothing high enough to be seen from Kings Highway. Concerned with the comments regarding the entrance/exit, not being large/wide enough for the increase in traffic. Is it safe? Post-could request an opinion form the County Highway Department to ensure that they think the entrance is adequate for the type of traffic and increase. Accurate dimensions of the entrance are needed. Tiano-can ask Johnathan Craft who is an Ulster County Highway Supervisor for review and comment. Wesley-can check with the Town Highway Department and Police Department to see if there are any accidents recorded in that section of Kings Highway. Post-is this new curb cut, when the business was moved to this location? Wesley-no it exists. Goldberg-look into the increase of traffic. Is the applicant accepting vehicles already? Wesley-no. Furman-exterior lighting? Wesley-there is existing lighting that is downward facing and securting cameras onsite. Furman-do the cars come in with tires? Wesley-some do and they go out the same way they come in. The applicant will not remove any parts, including tires. Furman-are the containers being used for storage and will the others that are not be removed. Canos-yes, and will leave those on the site plan only. The others that were being used for screening will be removed and a fence, which has already been started, will be completed. Beltrani-privacy fence on the Thruway side will be installed and trailers will be removed, as required. Furman-residential homes in the area, what about crushing noises? Post-the only crushing will be of the cars while stacking. Tiano-will work on getting a letter from Mr. Craft/Ulster County Highway Department and an accident report from the Mt. Marion Fire Department, along with Doug Myer's (Town of Saugerties Highway Superintendent) comments. A/C units are not drained prior to being brought onsite, there is a special removal of fluids. Post-as long as the a/c units are not drained onsite. Bouren-the proposed slab w/6' curb, there is no roof over this, correct? There will be water accumulation with excessive rain. Wesley-this will be reviewed and have to be approved by the DEC, which they will take over and tell us what we will need. Beltrani-will the conditional approval be enough for the DEC to issue their approvals? Where does the water go if it does build up on the concrete pad? Wesley-the applicant will use a speedy dry material to put on the water to contain it on the slab. Will have to follow DEC regulations, pending their approval. The conditional should be adequate to move forward. Bouren-this is an Industrial area, there are a lot of businesses in this area. The site looks better already. Hlavaty-the concrete pad is depicted with 3 sides, correct? Containing contamination of stormwater? Need 4 sides to ensure that does not happen. Beltrani-maybe a pitch? Wesley-can do a pitch 1/8" per foot to back. Post- 5" pitch, raise the height of the curb in the back to 12" to contain the accumulation. Canos-can use absorbent socks around the slab. Will do the 6" curb at front of sides and slope downward with a 12" curb on the back and rear sides of the slab. The curb will stay level and the slab will pitch. Wesley-will show this adjustment on the detail sheet. Creen-no comments.

Beltrani-based on the DEC permit the Board can issue a Negative Declaration. A motion was made by Furman, seconded by Tiano, to declare this an Unlisted Action under SEQR. Board Vote: Goldberg-Aye, Furman-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Post-Aye, Creen-Aye. Motion carried. A motion was made by Furman, seconded by Creen, to approve a Negative Declaration with DEC regulation and permit requirements. Board Vote: Goldberg-Aye, Furman-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Post-Aye, Creen-Aye. Motion carried. Beltrani-the driveway entrance is shown on the site plan and needs to be amended to the actual width it is now. Post-condition that the site plan be updated to the 22' wide drive. Wesley-it is stone and chip for the first 80' which serves as the track mat. Beltrani-with the landscaping note, curb and pitch update, DEC approval and the site

plan updated with the accurate width of entrance (22') the Board can issue a conditional approval. Wesley-the applicant was able to go out and measure during the meeting, the entrance is 80' deep and 22' wide38' wide at the entrance off of Kings Highway, with a culvert pipe. Goldberg-ok with conditional as long as the correct sizes are noted on the site plan along with the culvert pipe. If this is the case may not need additional review by any other entity. A motion was made by Furman, seconded by Bouren, for conditional approval of the site plan based on the outstanding items noted by the Town Planner. Board Vote: Goldberg-Aye, Furman-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Post-Aye, Creen-Aye. Motion carried. A motion was made by Bouren, seconded by Furman, to approve the Special Use Permit. Board Vote: Goldberg-Aye, Furman-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Post-Aye, Creen-Aye. Motion carried.

OLD BUSINESS

NONE

PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE

1. Site Plan Amendment, Guardian Self Storage West, LLC, 2902 Route 9W. Presented by Frank Redl, Guardian Self Storage West, LLC. Looking to install a 1,425 square foot climate controlled storage building on site. Access points do not change, there are currently 6 parking spaces plus a handicapped. The number will remain the same. Parking is only used for payments and rentals. Low impact traffic in and out of the facility. There are only 1 or 2 cars parked at the office at any time. There is an access code that will be used to access the new climate controlled building, cars will only be at the units when loading and unloading. The existing parking has worked, parking requirement waived due to use of the site. No intent to remove the trees. Beltrani-notes should be made regarding the disturbance area, amount of disturbance, and will require referral to the Ulster County Planning Board for comment. Furman-will the proposed building be insulated? Cooling? Heat Pumps to be used? Redl-the climate controlled building will be governed and built to state and local building code. Propose to use propane for both but will look into heat pumps as an alternative. Furman-look at NYS stretch code. Post-additional comments from the Board. Goldberg-no. Tiano-existing business, no problem with the additional proposed building. There is an issue for the fire department to access the facility because of the turn, and can not go in on the Route 32 side because of the pitch. The snow removal is a concern as that section where the proposed building is to be located is where the snow is being pushed now. There is a ledge located along the back of the site. What will be stored in the building, combustibles? Will a sprinkler system be installed? How will this affect the house across the street on the Route 32 side? Bring to the Glasco Fire Department to review and comment. Redl-did not have the intent to install a sprinkler system, thinking it would be used to store small furniture, books and records. Can send a copy for the Fire Department of the site plan. Bouren-heat pumps are good because they do not have a flame and would be safer. Hlavaty-no comments. Creen-no comments. Beltrani-there are low traffic columns, it is pick-up/drop-off not parking. Is there enough space between the proposed building and the existing storage building? Redl-there is 20' between. Beltrani-this is a Type II Action and will need to be referred to the County. Post-good points made regarding snow removal and fire truck access, would like to see those addressed for the next meeting. Heat pumps are a great alternative to consider. No further action, except referral, can be done at this time.

A motion was made by Furman, seconded by Hlavaty, to refer to the Ulster County Planning Board for comment. Board Vote: Goldberg-Aye, Furman-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Post-Aye, Creen-Aye. Motion carried.

2. Site Plan/SUP, Denier Car Wash/Duncan Properties, LLC, 2891 & 2897 Route 32. Presented by Scott Ouimet (Kaaterskill Associates) and Mike Moriello (Attorney). The applicant is currently before the Town Board for a zoning amendment, and was referred by the Town Board to the Planning Board on May 19, 2021 for a Site Plan/SUP application. The applicant is looking to have car wash added to allowable use in the General Business district of the zoning law. The site is located in the Aquifer Overlay district but the proposed car wash will have zero discharge, will be using a reclamation system, 3 compartment settling tanks with an overflow. New water will be added during the peak use times and the system will include an alarmed overflow tank with dual compartments with air void and sensors. A full EAF, completed SWPPP, and bioretention areas were submitted. Beltrani-lawful segmentation under SEQR documents received from the applicant's attorney, Mike Moriello. Assumed coordinated review to include the re-zoning and site plan. Moriello's opinion is that this project can not be lawfully segmented. The lead agency has the discretion to determine whether a project can be legally segmented. Would suggest that the Planning Board acquire the legal opinion of the Planning Board Attorney. Moriello-would agree, but just to be clear this is not opinion but there is case law to support it. A motion was made by Hlavaty, seconded by Furman, to send to the Planning Board Attorney, George Redder, for his opinion. Board Vote: Goldberg-Aye, Furman-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Post-Aye, Creen-Aye. Motion carried.

Goldberg-there are unique types of segmentations for different actions. The Town Board is responsible for the rezoning and that must be completed before the Planning Board can review the site plan. It is interesting wanting to change the zoning in a large portion of the zoning of the Town for one particular site. Furman, Tiano, Bouren, Creen, Hlavaty and Post all agreed with this statement. If the rezoning is approved car washes will be an allowable use in all areas of the Town that are zoned General Business. Beltrani-there needs to be clarification regarding the zoning districts that are being included in the rezoning petition. There are no standards being proposed to follow for what the SUP would entail for this use, for the Planning Board to follow when reviewing such an application. Post-would like a test case to review for the basis of this rezoning. Beltrani-what is the Town Board's take on this? Schoonmaker (PB Liaison)-would refer to the Planning Board attorney for his opinion on lawful segmentation regarding this application. Hlavaty-Moriello what about Taxpayers v. Town of Queesbury? Moriello-as stated in 6 NYCRR 617.3(g) determining lawful segmentation is a 2 part test, part 1 is usually easy to do but part 2 is a little more difficult. The first instance can not be practically determinant in the second. Beltrani-suggest that the Board await Mr. Redder's comments/opinion, the Lead Agency can be decided but more engineering including details of the runoff system for containment. Post-recommend that the Board read through all the documents that have been submitted thoroughly and call the Town Planner with any questions. No further action may be taken by the Board at this time.

3. Minor Subdivision, Ronald Frank, Houtman Road. Presented by Charles Holtz, PLS. The applicant is proposing to subdivide a 11.07 acre parcel into two lots, Lot 1 to be 2.10 acres and remain vacant with Lot 2 to be 8.97 acres and sell. Lot 1 will be kept as a viewshed with no intentions of selling or developing. There is a barn on Lot 2 that is proposed to stay with the land to be sold. There is an existing gravel drive on Lot 1 and no proposed curb cut on Lot 2. Furman-need an approved curb cut location on Lot 2, Post-agree. Holtz-will contact the Highway Dept. Beltrani-no further development is proposed? The Board needs to understand the potential impact, setbacks need to be shown along with proposed driveways. There needs to be a complete plan to show that the two parcels meet zoning requirements, even if development is not proposed by the current owner. Goldberg-not familiar with this area would request topography, it will be needed for engineers as well. Beltrani-clearing will be needed around the existing garage, it is currently a wooded area. Post-any

further Board comments? Tiano-no, Bouren-no, Hlavaty-no, Creen-no. Post-require topography, curb cut on Lot 2. Beltrani-will need well and septic locations for buildability. Post-think an engineer's letter would be sufficient for the septic and well, just to show they are buildable lots. Poll the Board to see their thoughts: Goldberg-would like to see what the rest of the Board thinks, Furman-applicant is not looking to sell and the Board of Health approvals are only good for 2 years, so a letter from an engineer would be sufficient, Bouren-agree with letter from engineer, Hlavaty-agree, Creen-agree, Post-agree. Holtz-outstanding items would be curb cut for Lot 2, approx. location of a house, septic/well feasibility letter from an engineer.

A motion was made by Furman, seconded by Tiano, to declare this an Unlisted Action under SEQR. Board vote: Goldberg-Aye, Furman-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Post-Aye, Creen-Aye. Motion carried. A motion was made by Post, seconded by Tiano, to approve a negative declaration under SEQR and set the public hearing for the July 20, 2021 Planning Board meeting. Board vote: Goldberg-Aye, Furman-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Post-Aye, Creen-Aye. Motions carried.

4. Site Plan/SUP, Tarpon Towers II/Verizon, 17 Industrial Drive. Presented by Scott Olsen (Attorney) and Brett Buggeln (Tarpon Towers/Verizon). The applicant is looking to install a wireless compound/tower in a 50'x50' area of the parcel. They have leased a 100'x100' area including a 30' access easement with a 12' gravel access driveway. The proposed tower will accommodate other wireless carriers and 911 emergency services will be added to the top 5' of the tower. The total height will be 155' steel monic, Verizon will be at 146', there are 2 future whip antennas for emergency services to utilize. Previously proposed to install this structure at the Mr. Marion Fire Station but was strongly encouraged by the Zoning Board of Appeals to look at the Industrial Drive area because of zoning districts. Beltrani-this application will require site plan and special use permit review along with ZBA variances, one being for the right side yard setback. The site plan/sup approval will be secondary to the ZBA area variance approvals. The Planning Board can assume Lead Agency for SEQR review to be completed before ZBA can make decisions. SEQR review will address visual impact, impact on community character, surface water features need to be mapped and avoided. EAF addresses the balloon test that was done within a 5 mile radius of the proposed site with resources noted. Identify significant locations to perform the balloon test including, Turkey Point, Tivoli Bay, NYS Resource Areas. Olson-worked with ZBA to determine balloon test locations. Beltrani- a "Notice of Intent" will be prepared to declare Lead Agency, some agencies to be notified will include the NYS Parks Department and Tivoli Bay/Dutchess County Planning Board. Only other involved agency will be the Saugerties ZBA; all others will be interested agencies. Some important points are the zoning code viewshed related review, limitation to minimum to provide adequate service, the Mt. Marion tower was proposed to be 30' shorter. Olsen-the difference is because this location on Industrial Drive is further away from the converge area. ZBA hired an independent engineer to show what 120' would be for each location; this is the lowest height to provide similar coverage, 146'. Buggeln-emergency services do not pay to use the tower, they install their own equipment and maintain it. They will have the same coverage that Verizon will provide. Beltrani-analysis of surrounding area, note whether there are other facilities.. Olsen-26 properties were analyzed, the only other location would have been the Mt. Marion FD site but that can not be used. The site analysis will be incorporated. Beltrani-Dan Shuster's memo from 6/8/21 will be addressed with the ZBA. Has Mt. Marion Fire Department looked at the proposed site plan? Buggeln-the proposed site plan does include a turn around spot and parking spot. There should not be access issues. Beltrani-landscaping, this site is adjacent to three residential properties. Are there any existing towers that are usable? Visual Impact Assessment with balloon tests were done at Ulster Landing Park and Highwoods Multiple Use Area

were studied but were found that the balloon was not visible. Significant historical sites were studied as well and when viewed from 657 Churland Road and 801 Kings Highway visual impact was not significant per DEC guidance. The applicant to submit a token for CRIS. Buggeln-that is not required for cell towers. Beltrani-suggest that the Planning Board review visual analysis and provide comment. Methodology would suggest that labels of focal point and focal length be reported on photos. Details are needed regarding the balloon test including distance, was this a straight line and was a ZBA member present during the balloon test. Olson-they had access but not sure if they were present. Beltrani-tower design needs to be provided, color schemes, build out plan and look at code. Is there an alternate plan for a Type I action or is this not feasible. A maintenance bond will be required. The NOI will be circulated to the ZBA, Town Board, NYS Historic Preservation Office, NYS Parks and Recreation, NYSDEC, UCPB, NYS Thruway Authority, Saugerties Building Department and Mt. Marion Fire Department. Variances can not be granted until the Negative Declaration is adopted, and does not need to be referred the Ulster County Planning Board (UCPB).

A motion was made by Furman, seconded by Hlavaty, to declare this an Unlisted Action and declare Lead Agency. Board vote: Goldberg-Aye, Furman-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Creen-Aye, Post-Aye. Motion carried.

Post-suggest this will require a public hearing and additional balloon tests. Beltrani-yes, can take the list from the ZBA and allow the PB to review. Tiano-Tivoli Bays, Fisher Building, Turkey Point should be areas that we look at for balloon visibility locations. Beltrani-the 5 mile radius may be part of the bays in Tivoli and the viewpoint from the water considered. Post-further comment from the Board: Furman-no, Bouren-no, Hlavaty-no, Creen-no. No further action can be taken by the Board.

ADDITIONAL ITEMS

1. Site Plan, A. Montano, Route 32, Extension. A 6-month extension was requested by the applicant, due to the pandemic. A motion was made by Furman, seconded by Hlavaty, to approve a 6-month extension on the approval w/conditions of the site plan. Board vote: Goldberg-Aye, Furman-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Creen-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye, Post-Aye. Motion carried.

2. Town Board Referral - Message Sign Zoning Amendment. The proposed amendment would include moving signs for schools, fire departments and community agencies. A motion was made by Furman, seconded by Hlavaty, to approve and support the message sign amendment. Board vote: Goldberg-Aye, Furman-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Creen-Aye, Post-Aye, Hlavaty-Aye. Motion carried.

ADJOURNMENT

Since there was no further business to discuss, a motion was made by Tiano, seconded by Furman, to adjourn the meeting. Board vote: Goldberg-Aye, Furman-Aye, Bouren-Aye, Tiano-Aye, Hlavaty-aye, Post-Aye, Creen-Aye. Motion carried. The meeting was closed at 11:12 pm.

Respectfully Submitted by,

Becky Bertorelli
Planning Board Secretary